## Protoplanetary Disks: Updates from Observations

Megan Ansdell, UC Berkeley, 10/9/2017





•	•	۰	•	8
J16124373-3815031	Sz 108B	Sz 113	Sz 90	Sz 74
Sz 118	V856 Sco	Sz 100	J15450887-3417333	Sz 123A
J16083070-3828268	J16000236-4222145	Sz 114	J16070854-3914075	J16011549-4152351
RY Lup	Sz 98	Sz 129	Sz 111	MY Lup





- Disks are made of gas + dust
  - -G/D = 100:1 in ISM
  - ratio must decrease in disks?



Figure 4a The characteristic 'dipole field' pattern created on the surface of a Keplerian disc by loci of points of constant radial velocity. The shaded areas correspond to emission in those parts of the line profile which are shown in Figure 4b



- Dust component:
  - sizes range from sub-µm to planets (?)
  - compositions likely vary (silicates, ices, graphite)
  - detection by continuum (thermal) emission
- Gas component:
  - vast majority of gas in H<sub>2</sub> (but also CO)
  - detected by line emission from molecules in disk





#### Obs. wavelength ~ grain size ( $\lambda$ >100 $\mu$ m)



### Why (sub-)mm Emission Traces Dust Mass



3. Mass locked in (sub-)mm grains



Thus (sub-)mm emission traces the amount of sub-mm to cm sized grains, which contain the bulk of the dust mass

#### ALMA: Revolutionizing (sub-)mm Astronomy

L Mitte Heinstein Heiter at the

66 antennas at 16,500 ft 15 km baselines  $\rightarrow$  10 mas resolution 10 observing bands  $\rightarrow$  (sub)-mm

# 10-100x higher sensitivity & resolution

- Collecting area → sensitivity
  Longest baseline → resolution
  No. of baselines → image fidelity

#### HL Tau

pre-ALMA resolution 0.2" at 140 pc (30 AU)

#### HL Tau

15 km baselines at 1mm 0.025" at 140 pc (3.5 AU)

TW Hya 14 km baselines at 870 µm 0.02" at 54 pc (1 AU)

# Why Large Surveys?

Protoplanetary disks evolution → initial conditions for planet formation



#### Why Large Surveys?

Protoplanetary disks trends  $\rightarrow$  explain exoplanet trends?



Why are super-Earths so common?Why are Jupiters so rare?

• What are the origins of exoplanet trends with stellar mass?

- Tells us how much material is available for planet formation
- Can be measured efficiently with ALMA for large populations of disks



- Tells us how much material is available for planet formation
- Can be measured efficiently with ALMA for large populations of disks



- Tells us how much material is available for planet formation
- Can be measured efficiently with ALMA for large populations of disks



- Good tracer of H<sub>2</sub>
- Weak dipole moment allows detectable emission
- But emits in far-IR (need space-based observatory)

(see Bergin et al. 2013)

- Tells us how much material is available for planet formation
- Can be measured efficiently with ALMA for large populations of disks

#### GAS FROM CO ISOTOPOLOGUES

- Detectable at sub-mm wavelengths
- Optically thin (traces total disk mass)
- Parametric models + radiative transfer
- Simple CO chemistry (freeze out + photodiss.)
- Efficient to get  $M_{\mbox{\scriptsize gas}}$  to within factor of 3



- Measure dust & gas masses for complete populations of protoplanetary disks
- Indicative of how much material is available for planet formation
- Surveyed star-forming regions with distinct ages to study disk evolution



#### **Lupus Clouds**

- Young (1-3 Myr)
- Nearby (150 pc)
- 95 protoplanetary disks

Ansdell+2016c



#### **σ** Orionis Cluster

- Middle-aged (3–5 Myr)
- Nearby-ish (385 pc)
- 92 protoplanetary disks

Ansdell+2017

#### Upper Sco Association

- Evolved (5-10 Myr)
- Nearby (145 pc)
- 75 protoplanetary disks

Barenfeld+2016

#### Protoplanetary Disk Zoo

Lupus ('	1–3 Myr	•)		•							
Sz 83	RY Lup	Sz 98	Sz 129	Sz 111	MY Lup	Sz 71	J16090141-3925119	Sz 69	Sz 110	J15450634-3417378	Sz 66
•	0	•	•	0	•	•	<mark>()</mark>	•	•	•	•
Sz 68	J16083070-3828268	J16000236-4222145	Sz 114	J16070854-3914075	J16011549-4152351	Sz 133	Sz 117	Sz 81A	Sz 88A	Sz 131	J16081497-3857145
•	~		•	/	🦉	1	•	•	•	•	•
Sz 65	Sz 118	V856 Sco	Sz 100	J15450887-3417333	Sz 123A	Sz 84	Sz 130	Sz 97	J16070384-3911113	Sz 95	Sz 96
Sz 73	J16124373-3815031	Sz 108B	Sz 113	Sz 90	Sz 74	J16085324-3914401	J16085373-3914367	Sz 104	J16080017-3902595	J16075475-3915446	J16000060-4221567

#### Upper Sco (5–10 Myr)

J153548-295855	J155140.214610	J155301-211413 •	J155342-204928	J155829-231007	J155836-225715	J160018-223011 ●	J160140-225810 •	J160141-211138
J160241-213824	J160301-220752 •	J160322-241311 •	J160357-203105	J160357-194210	J160417-194228	J160421-213028	J160439-194245	J160525-203539
J160621-192844	J160622-201124	J160635-251651	J160641-245548 ●	J160643-190805	J160726-243207	J160727-205944 •	J160739-191747	J160757-204008
J160823-193001	J160827-194904	J160900-190836	J160900-190852	J160935-182823	J160940-221759 •	J160953-175447 ø	J160954-190655 •	J160959-180009



#### **Declining Dust Distributions**



Cumulative distributions calculated using Kaplan Meier Estimator (to take into account upper limits)

# **Declining Dust Distributions**

Disks that can form giant planet cores (10  $M_{\oplus}$ ) (unrealistically assuming 100% efficiency)

- 1-3 Myr: 25%
- 3-5 Myr: 13%
- 5-10 Myr: 5%

Giant planet formation well underway?

- Implies solids > cm already formed
- Evidence of overcoming "fragmentation barrier"

#### Giant planet formation rare?

- Implies most disk just lack sufficient dust
- Consistent with exoplanet statistics

	Stellar mass	Orbital radius	Planet mass	Frequency
Micro- lensing	0.14 -1.0 M⊚	0.5 -10 AU	0.3 -10 M <sub>Jup</sub>	<b>17%</b> Cassan+2012
Direct Imaging	0.1 - 0.7 M⊚	< 20 AU	1.0 -13 M <sub>Jup</sub>	<b>6.5%</b> Montet+2014
	0.1 - 0.6 M⊚	10 -100 AU	1.0 -13 M <sub>Jup</sub>	<16% Bowler+2015



#### $M_{dust} - M \star Correlation$



Linear fit from Bayesian Linear Regression method of Kelly 2007 (to take into account upper limits, errors on both axes, intrinsic scatter)

#### $M_{dust} - M \star Correlation$

The  $M_{dust}$  -  $M_{\star}$  relation fundamentally explains the  $f_{giant}$  -  $M_{\star}$  relation?

- Giant planets form more efficiently in highermass disks around higher-mass stars
- Due to higher Σ + faster T<sub>dym</sub> → faster core growth and larger formation zones

(e.g., Ikoma+2000, Ida & Lin 2005, Kennedy & Kenyon 2008)

# Steepening of M<sub>dust</sub> - M + relation points to different evolution around low-mass stars

- Growth of mm-size dust into > cm-sized bodies more efficient around low-mass stars?
- Inward drift of mm-size grains more efficient around lower-mass stars?

(e.g., Pascucci+2016)



#### Low Gas Masses

Typical gas masses  $\lesssim 1 M_{JUP}$  in Lupus (1-3 Myr)

- Explained by stratified nature of disks?
- Supports that giant planet formation is rare or rapid



#### Low Gas Masses

Typical gas masses  $\lesssim 1 M_{JUP}$  in Lupus (1-3 Myr)

- Explained by stratified nature of disks?
- Supports that giant planet formation is rare or rapid



#### Low Gas Masses

Typical gas masses  $\lesssim 1 M_{JUP}$  in Lupus (1-3 Myr)

- Explained by stratified nature of disks?
- Supports that giant planet formation is rare or rapid







#### Removal of gas-rich atmosphere via winds?

Additional depletion of volatile carbon?

# HD vs. CO Gas Masses

Andrews+2016





Ruane+2017

Different gas mass estimates for TW Hydrae:

- HD: M<sub>gas</sub> > 0.05 M<sub>☉</sub> Bergin+2013
- CO: M<sub>gas</sub> ≈ 5x10-4 M<sub>☉</sub> Williams & Best (2014)
- HD with updated vertical structure: M<sub>gas</sub> ≈ 6-9x10<sup>-3</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> Trapman+2017

If 10 Myr with 50 M<sub>Jup</sub> then where are the gas giants? No non accreting Jupiter-mass planets found with direct imaging

Only two other gas masses from HD (biased to brightest/massive disks)

- GM Aur: 2.5-20.4x10<sup>-2</sup>  $M_{\odot}$
- DM Tau: 1.0-4.7x10<sup>-2</sup> M<sub>☉</sub>

Consistent with CO mass of 0.9x10<sup>-2</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> Williams & Best (2-14)



#### **External Photoevaporation**





- No massive (>9 $M_{\oplus}$ ) disks at < 0.03 pc (EUV)
- Normal at 0.03-0.30 pc (FUV) and beyond

#### **External Photoevaporation**





- No massive (>9 $M_{\oplus}$ ) disks at < 0.03 pc (EUV)
- Normal at 0.03-0.30 pc (FUV) and beyond

- No massive (>3M⊕) disks at <0.5 pc</li>
- Smooth trend <0.5 pc to cluster edge
- Gas detections at edges of cluster

External photoevaporation effects disks throughout OB clusters

# Close (-ish) binaries inhibiting planet formation?



The (Taurus) disk view:

- $a > 300 \text{ AU} \rightarrow \text{same as isolated stars}$
- $a = 30-300 \rightarrow 5x$  fainter
- $a < 30 \rightarrow 25x$  fainter
- circumbinary  $\rightarrow$  same as isolated stars

The (Kepler) exoplanet view:

a < 100 AU → planet-hosting binaries rarer than "field" binary population



#### What about the inner disk?

Difficult to observe < 1 AU scales: small angular scales and bright host star



# The "dipper" stars



Cody+2014

- Dip periods < 1 week
- Dip durations ~0.5-2 days
- Dip depths up to ~60% in flux

Not planetary!

- Young (<10 Myr) stars with disks
- Exhibit dimming events in optical LCs
- Quasi-periodic or aperiodic behavior



# What is causing the "dipper" phenomenon?

Inner dusty warps in nearly edge-on disks passing along line of sight



Are dippers too common (20-30% of disks) for edge-on disk scenario?



Kurosawa & Romanova 2013

#### K2: New Insights Into Dippers



Space-based optical photometry along ecliptic

- High precision (~mmag)
- High cadence (~30 min)
- Long-term (~80 days)

#### K2/C2 observed closer + older SFRs

Ansdell+2016

- USco (10 Myr @ 140 pc)
- $\rho$  Oph (2 Myr @ 120 pc)

#### K2: New Insights Into Dippers



### Non-accreting Dippers?



Mostly WTTS when using Ha emission to identify CTTS (White & Basri 2003)

Weak or no accretion when using  $\text{Pa} \pmb{\gamma}$  emission as tracer of accretion

#### Dipper Disks Not Inclined to Edge-on Orbits...





# Dippers not inclined toward edge-on orbits?

# Dipper Disks Not Inclined to Edge-on Orbits...



#### Increasing Evidence for Warps in Inner Disk



AA Tau (the original dipper) is not edge on! Evidence for warps from HCO+ velocity profile