The many lives of AGN: black hole growth and the colors of galaxies

Croton et al., 2006





The Problem

Success of A-CDM

• CMB LSS BBN elements Accelerating expansion

Difficulties of A-CDM

 Hierarchical merging of DM halos for structure growth (White & Rees 1978)

 Over predicts high and low luminosity galaxies
 Under predicts MW-size galaxies

Cooling inefficiencies? Nope. Optical (Hubble)



The Solution

"we argue that radio sources may provide the required feedback, while at the same time solving two other longstanding puzzles"

cooling flow problem

massive ellipticals have the oldest stars



cooling flow puzzle

Gas in clusters SHOULD be cooling extremely rapidly

observations show that the gas is NOT condensing into stars

every cluster with a strong cooling flow also contains a massive and active central radio galaxy (Burns, Gregory & Holman 1981) Optical (Hubble)



key insight:



massive elliptical puzzle

lookback studies: SFR and AGN activity peak around z ~ 2 in highest mass systems, leading to more growth EARLY

> key insight: Radio galaxies prevent accretion, limiting the mass growth from star formation. Mergers change mass and morphology.

hierarchical merging: massive dark haloes assemble at lower redshift than lower mass haloes, central galaxies growing through accretion and cooling flow



how do we proceed?

full simulations of diffuse gas + DM with AGN feedback Simulate DM distribution once (expensive)

Apply semi-analytic recipes for baryons at each time step (cheap) [Kauffman+1999]



(1) The Dark Matter Skeleton: The Millenium Run

Springel+2005b

very large dark matter simulation in **ACDM** cosmology

10¹⁰ particles of mass ~ 10⁹ M_{sun}

periodic box 2 billion light years on each side

64 snapshots from z ~ 127 to identify DM haloes and subhaloes

*see Massimo's TNG100 Presentation



(1) The Dark Matter Skeleton: The Millenium Run



Example Merger Tree for a galaxy at z = 0

*see Massimo's TNG100 Presentation

applying physical models with semi-analytic recipes (and exploring parameter space) tree-for-tree is computationally cheap



2 Semi-Analytic Models

gas infall and cooling:

(White & Frenk 1991; De Lucia, Kauffmann & White 2004)

reionization: (Kravtsov, Gnedin & Klypin 2004)

star formation: (Kauffman+1996)

SN feedback: (Springel+2001a, De Lucia+2004)

morphology, mergers, and starbursts

(Mo+1996, Sommerville+2001)

metal enrichment: (De Lucia+2004)

spectroscopic evolution & dust:

(Bruzual & Charlot 2003, De Lucia+2004)

black hole growth, AGN outflows, and cooling: (this paper, Croton+2006)

"most...follows earlier work, ... but we introduce a 'radio' feedback mode, based on simple physical models"



(2) Semi-Analytic Models

"Our parameters have been chosen to reproduce local galaxy properties"

Iuminosity-color distribution
stellar mass-stellar age relation
color-magnitude relation of ellipticals
bulge mass-black hole relation
cosmic star formation history

"plausible changes in one parameter or recipe can usually be accommodated through adjustment of the remaining parameters within their own plausible range."

"The particular model that we present is thus not unique."



(2) Semi-Analytic Model Parameter Table (skip)

Table 1. A summary of our main model parameters and their best values and plausible ranges, as described in the text. Once set, these values are kept fixed for all results presented in this paper, in particular for models in which AGN feedback is switched off.

Parameter	Description	Best value	Plausible ran
fь	Cosmic baryon fraction (Section 3.3)	0.17	fixed
z_0, z_r	Redshift of reionization (Section 3.3)	8,7	fixed
$f_{\rm BH}$	Merger cold gas BH accretion fraction (Section 3.4.1)	0.03	002-004
KAGN	Quiescent hot gas BH accretion rate (M _{\odot} yr ⁻¹) (Section 3.4.2)	6×10^{-6}	$(4-8) \times 10^{-1}$
αsf	Star formation efficiency (Section 3.5)	0.07	005-015
€disc	SN feedback disc reheating efficiency (Section 3.6)	3.5	1–5
€halo	SN feedback halo ejection efficiency (Section 3.6)	0.35	01–05
Yei	Ejected gas reincorporation efficiency (Section 3.6)	0.5	01-10
Tmerger	Major merger mass ratio threshold (Section 3.7)	0.3	02-04
R	Instantaneous recycled fraction of SF to the cold disc (Section 3.9)	0.3	02-04
Y	Yield of metals produced per unit SF (Section 3.9)	0.03	002–004











optical (Fusiolo



Gas Infall and Cooling (White & Frenk 1991)

—> infalling gas shocks to virial temperature two fates:

late times, massive systems:

$$\dot{m}_{\rm cool} = 0.5 m_{\rm hot} \frac{r_{\rm cool} V_{\rm vir}}{R_{\rm vir}^2}.$$
$$r_{\rm cool} < R_{\rm vir}.$$

hot atmosphere forms, accretion onto central object via cooling flow

"STATIC HOT HALO"

early times, less massive systems:

post-shock gas rapidly cools onto object

"RAPID COOLING"



Gas Infall and Cooling



Reionization of IGM (Kravtsov, Gnedin & Klypin+2004)

dwarf galaxies contain a small fraction of all condensed baryons

(Gnedin+2000) photoionization of IGM affects gas content of haloes

define a "filtering mass," below which you reduce the baryon fraction:

 $f_{\rm b}^{\rm halo}(z, M_{\rm vir}) = \frac{f_{\rm b}^{\rm cosmic}}{[1 + 0.26M_{\rm F}(z)/M_{\rm vir}]^3}.$

 $M_F \sim 4 \times 10^9 M_{sun}$



Star Formation (Kauffman+1996)

surface density + mass threshold for star formation

 $\dot{m}_* = \alpha_{\rm SF}(m_{\rm cold} - m_{\rm crit})/t_{\rm dyn, disc},$

star formation rate leading to episodic star formation

 $\Sigma_{\rm crit}(R) = 120 \left(\frac{V_{\rm vir}}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{R}{\rm kpc} \right)^{-1} M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}.$ $m_{\rm crit} = 3.8 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{V_{\rm vir}}{200 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{r_{\rm disc}}{10 \,\mathrm{kpc}}\right) \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot},$





SN Feedback (Springel+2001a, De Lucia + 2004)

$$\Delta m_{\rm ejected} = \underbrace{\left(\epsilon_{\rm halo} \frac{V_{\rm SN}^2}{V_{\rm vir}^2} - \epsilon_{\rm disc}\right)}_{\rm efficiency \ term} \Delta m_*$$

$$\dot{m}_{\rm ejected} = -\gamma_{\rm ej} m_{\rm ejected} / t_{\rm dyn},$$

SN feedback + star formation models recover Tully-Fisher relation

> related to SN feedback

related to

SN eject material into the hot halo (not necessarily permanently)





MOIDINGY, MEIGERS, and Starbursts

(Sommerville+2001)

morphology: assumed to be controlled by bulge-to-total luminosity ratio

cold gas from satellite added to central bulge, along with stars from minor subsequent starburst

 $e_{\rm burst} = \beta_{\rm burst} (m_{\rm sat}/m_{\rm central})^{\alpha_{\rm burst}},$

= 0.3merge Major Merger **Minor Merger**

> more significant starburst, discs destroyed to form spheroid



Black Hole Growth, AGN Outflows, and Cooling Suppression (this paper, Croton+2006)

two mechanisms for BH growth and accretion:

radio mode suppresses cooling flows in massive systems



mass accreted: - proportion to total cold gas

- present
- mergers

"quasar mode" - rapid cooling -

 $\Delta m_{\rm BH,Q} = \frac{f_{\rm BH}' m_{\rm cold}}{1 + (280 \,\rm km \, s^{-1} / V_{\rm vir})^2},$

- less efficient in low mass systems and unequal

"radio mode" - static hot halo -

mass accreted

 $\dot{m}_{\rm BH,R} = \kappa_{\rm AGN} \left(\frac{m_{\rm BH}}{10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{f_{\rm hot}}{0.1} \right) \left(\frac{V_{\rm vir}}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^3,$

mechanical heating from black hole

$$L_{\rm BH} = \eta \dot{m}_{\rm BH} c^2,$$



Black Hole Growth, AGN Outflows, and Cooling Suppression (this paper, Croton+2006)

black hole growth is dominated by mergers at early times

radio mode significant at late times

> this feedback is strong enough to reproduce luminosities and colors of galaxies







Cooling Flow Suppression

with radio mode, condensation rate is suppressed at present in massive ellipticals in clusters



Galaxy Properties with Suppressed Condensation radio mode reduces the luminosities of bright galaxies





Galaxy Properties with Suppressed Condensation

> with radio mode heating: - most massive galaxies are red and elliptical

- growth through mergers, cooling halts star formation

without radio mode: - most massive galaxies are blue and have discs





Galaxy Properties with Suppressed Condensation

cooling flow suppression increases mean age of stars in high mass galaxies





What's really going on...

from earlier: a model for black hole accretion in systems with a static hot halo

$$\dot{m}_{\rm BH,R} = \kappa_{\rm AGN} \left(\frac{m_{\rm BH}}{10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{f_{\rm hot}}{0.1} \right) \left(\frac{V_{\rm vir}}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^3,$$

what physical model can reproduce these scalings?

cold cloud accretion

bondi accretion

Optical (Hubble)



(1)

Cold Cloud Accretion (Bertschinger+1989)

deep gravitational wells rapidly accelerate the cooling flow as it enters free fall

$$r_{\rm BH} = \frac{{\rm G}m_{\rm BH}}{V_{\rm vir}^2}$$

clouds



Bondi Accretion (Bondi+1952, Churazon+2005)

 $\dot{m}_{\rm Bondi} = 2.5\pi {\rm G}^2 \frac{m_{\rm BH}^2 \rho_0}{2}.$

maximal cooling flow model of Nulsen & Fabian 2000

$$\dot{m}_{\rm Bondi} \approx {\rm G}\mu m_{\rm p} rac{kT}{\Lambda} m_{\rm BH}.$$

neither model can be ruled out right now





Quick Recap

cooling flow problem **(**a) massive, old ellipticals (b)color-mass distribution a host of other galaxy properties (\mathbf{d})

introducing "radio feedback" in semi-analytic models of galaxy formation suppresses cooling flows, explaining:

